

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Parent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. BONGER
Alexandril, Vi gimia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/870,149	05/30/2001		David Blight	035451-0125 (3620.Palm)	035451-0125 (3620.Palm) 8876	
26371	7590	09/08/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY & 1		A A MONTA TO	ARANI, TAGHI T			
SUITE 3800	VISCONSIN A	AVENUE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUK	MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5308			2131		
	*			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005	DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/870,149	BLIGHT ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Taghi T. Arani	2131						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because								
(a) 🔯 They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below 	• •	duaing or aimplifying the icques fo						
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re-	ducing or simplifying the issues to)r					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
non-allowable claim(s). 7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) solution will not be entered, or b) solution will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 13-24</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 of PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. Continuation Sheet								
	Priv	nay Examinar						
	β _ω	(U)) - loc						
	91	S(5)						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The additional limitation added to the independent claims 1, 11, 22, 23 and 24 change the scope of the presently amended claims and would therefore require an updated/additional serach. notwithstanding this feature has previously been rejected in claim 21.

Continuation of 13. Other: Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 1, 11, 22, 23 and 24 are amended to include "mobile resources server""configured to provide information about available resources". This feature is presented in claim 21 and was found to be taught by the prior art of record to Chen et al. as addressed in the previous Office action dated 6/21/2005. Applicant's arguments in this regard were fully considered but they are not persuasive. Providing information to the mobile devices reads on the claimed providing information about available resources to the mobile device.